

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/881,493	06/14/2001	Pankaj K. Jha	0325.00482	7913
21363 75	90 11/28/2006	EXAMINER		
CHRISTOPHER P. MAIORANA, P.C. 24840 HARPER SUITE 100			PATEL, HARESH N	
	ORES, MI 48080		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2154	

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/881,493	JHA, PANKAJ K.
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit
	Haresh Patel	2154
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		•
THE REPLY FILED 27 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compart following time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(for Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the same filed. 	owing replies: (1) an amendment a citize of Appeal (with appeal fee) in diance with 37 CFR 1.114. The replace of the final rejection. The replace of the replace of the replace of the final rejection. The replace of th	ffidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or ly must be fleet within one of the within one of the final ejection. RST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO and the appropriate extension fee have
CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	atutory period for reply originally set in the	final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b)
NOTICE OF APPEAL	nlianna with 27 CER 44 27 must be	s filed within two menths of the data
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any a Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will not be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying the issues for
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	siected claims
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.		geoted ciaims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphani / arronamoni (i 1 o 2 o 2 r).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendment canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is professed that the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> .		vill be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is necessary
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. \$	eal and/or appellant fails to provide a See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attached.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	it does NOT place the applications	in condition for allowance because:
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by		in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the claims 1-20 dated 6/30/2006 are rejected with the cited prior arts of the final office action dated 8/31/06, and the cited prior arts still render the claims unpatentable (dated 6/30/2006) and the final rejection is deemed proper regarding the 35 U.S.C. 102 and 35 U.S.C. 103 rejections. Please also refer to the examiner's responses dated 7/29/2005 of the copending application 09/881367 for which the applicant filed a terminal disclaimer on 11/24/2005 and which is directly related and/or similar to the claimed subject matter, and which is also incorporated into this application under prosecution. Please also refer to the examiner's response at the last parargaph of page 1 through page 4 of the final office action dated 8/31/2006. Applicant's proposed amending the rejected claimed subject matter, with additional limitations, for example, "at least one of said peripheral blocks", etc., (as it alters the scope of the claimed subject matter and in fact making the claimed limitations narrower compared to the previously presented claims for which the final office action dated 8/31/06 was issued), which require further consideration and/or search.

The objections to the drawings, title, and the claims 1, 10 and 20 are withdrawn.

Further regarding the applicant's remarks regarding the rejections, the reply filed on 10/27/2006 is not fully responsive because it fails to include a complete or accurate record of the substance of the attorney/applicant initiated telephone interviews dated 9/11/2006 and 9/25/2006. The attorney/applicant did not include any record of the several telephone calls made by Mr. John Ignatowski to the examiner and Mr. John Follansbee for the telephone interview dated 9/11/2006, and there is no reference to the interview summary paper dated 9/14/2006. The attorney/applicant did not include what the attorney/applicant requested for the interview dated 9/25/2006 (i.e., the attorney/applicant concern regarding claim 1 and Ogawa reference, please see Mr. John Ignatowski's letter dated 9/18/2006 that contains handwritten "Walk thru claim 1 and explain how Ogawa is being applied", "Issues, rejection, claim 1, Ogawa", etc.) and there is no reference to the interview summary paper dated 9/28/2006 and the letter requesting the interview by Mr. John Ignatowski paper dated 9/18/2006.

Note: claims 2-9 depend upon claim 1 and also includes the claimed subject matter of the attorney/applicant presented claim 1 in the interview request dated 9/18/2006. Claims 10 contain similar subject matter of the attorney/applicant presented claim 1 in the interview request dated 9/18/2006 and claims 11-20 depend upon the claim 10.

An initialed and dated copy of the applicant's IDS form 1449, paper dated 10/27/2006 is attached to this Office action.